IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

R. CATHY REARDON, on behalf of herself and all similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, vs. CLOSETMAID CORPORATION, Defendant.)) Civil Action No. 08-1730) Judge Mark R. Hornak) Electronically Filed)
ORD	<u>ER</u>
AND NOW this day of _	, 201, upon consideration of
Defendant's Motion in limine to Exclude Subse	equent Remedial Measures, and any response
thereto, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
(1) Defendant's Motion in limine to	Exclude Subsequent Remedial Measures is
GRANTED;	
(2) It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff	s shall not introduce or attempt to introduce
through the testimony of any witness	ss, or through any documentary records, any
evidence of or make any references to	o, whether directly or indirectly, documents, or
argument in an attempt to prove th	at ClosetMaid's use of a new Disclosure &
Authorization Form beginning on or al	bout July 1, 2009 is evidence that its previously
used forms were noncompliant with FO	CRA; and

It is further ORDERED that this Court shall INSTRUCT the jury that it cannot rely

upon or otherwise infer that ClosetMaid's change to a different Authorization &

Disclosure Form on July 1, 2009 is evidence that its previously used forms were

(3)

noncompliant with FCRA.

Mark R. Hornak

16546999.1